## SURREY COUNTY ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION ANALYSIS OF UNDER 15 TRACK & FIELD PERFORMANCES 2004 -2005

In the table below I have counted the number of performances in the 2005 Surrey Track & Field Rankings (compiled by Clare Cave and Keith Burchell) for AAAoE Grades 1 - 3 in each event for the Under 15 age groups. The figures shown in brackets are the corresponding values for 2004.

U	NDER 15 B	OYS	-	-	UNDE	R 15 GIRL	S
Event	AAA 1	AAA 2	AAA 3	Event	AAA 1	AAA 2	AAA 3
100M	10 (4)	2 (5)	12 (10)	100M	4 (2)	3 (4)	14 (14)
200M	8 (6)	4 (7)	9 (9)	200M	5 (3)	2 (3)	11 (10)
400M	1 (3)	3 (5)	4 (9)	-			
800M	2 (2)	4 (3)	5 (6)	800M	3 (0)	4 (5)	10 (10)
1500M	1 (0)	1 (4)	6 (4)	1500M	1 (0)	4 (1)	7 (7)
3000M	0(1)	1 (0)	4 (4)	-			
80H	0(1)	2 (5)	7 (4)	75H	1 (2)	6 (2)	5 (4)
НЈ	1 (4)	0 (2)	4 (6)	HJ	0 (0)	0 (0)	6 (5)
PV	0(1)	0 (0)	1 (0)	-			
LJ	1 (2)	1 (4)	6 (3)	LJ	2 (1)	2 (4)	6 (4)
TJ	2 (2)	0(1)	1 (2)	-			
SP	3 (3)	1 (5)	1 (5)	SP	1 (0)	0 (2)	3 (3)
DT	0(1)	1 (1)	3 (3)	DT	1 (0)	0(1)	3 (2)
HT	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	-			
JT	0 (0)	0(1)	1 (3)	JT	0 (0)	0 (2)	3 (3)

In addition to AAAoE Standards we have set a Surrey Standard which is used to determine the level necessary for inclusion in the Surrey Rankings. The following tables show the relative positions of the Surrey clubs based upon their Surrey athletes only and upon performances submitted for inclusion in the ranking lists. Each Grade 1 performance scores 4 points; Grade 2 3 points; Grade 3 2 points and Surrey standard 1 point. The tables also show the number of individual athletes in each club who have at least one performance in the relevant Annual Surrey Rankings.

UNDER 15 BOYS					UNDER 15 GIRLS			
	200	5		2005				
1	Herne Hill H	103pts	27 athletes	1	Guildford & God	102pts	28 athletes	
2	Croydon	92	19	2	Herne Hill H	78	23	
3	Kingston & Poly	68	19	3	Kingston & Poly	61	15	
4	Herc Wimb	40	11	4	Aldershot F&D	47	11	
5	Woking	32	6	5	Sutton & Dist	41	13	
6	Walton	31	8	6	Walton	31	12	
7=	Epsom & Ewell	25	7	7=	Croydon	25	8	
7=	South London H	25	6	7=	Woking	25	9	
9	Guildford & God	21	9	9	Epsom & Ewell	19	5	
10	Aldershot F&D	17	5	10	Camberley	14	5	
11	Dorking & MV	5	3	11	Richmond & Twick	12	1	
12	Sutton & Dist	5	2	12	South London H	11	3	
				13	Dorking & MV	7	4	
				14	Redhill & SyB	6	1	
				15=	Herc Wimb	3	2	
				15=	Horsham BS	3	1	
				17	Crawley	2	1	
	200	4		2004				
1	Kingston & Poly	80pts	15 athletes	1	Guildford & God	116pts	32 athletes	
2	Croydon	78	18	2	Herne Hill H	70	19	
2			1.5				.,	
3	Herne Hill H	66	15	3	Kingston & Poly	66	18	
3 4	Herne Hill H South London H	66 34	15 7	3 4		66 24		
					Kingston & Poly Woking Croydon		18	
4	South London H	34	7	4	Kingston & Poly Woking	24	18 8	
4 5	South London H Sutton & Dist	34 31	7 6	4 5	Kingston & Poly Woking Croydon	24 22	18 8 10	
4 5 6	South London H Sutton & Dist Epsom & Ewell	34 31 30	7 6 6	4 5 6	Kingston & Poly Woking Croydon Camberley	24 22 21	18 8 10 3	
4 5 6 7	South London H Sutton & Dist Epsom & Ewell Woking Aldershot F&D	34 31 30 22	7 6 6 5	4 5 6 7	Kingston & Poly Woking Croydon Camberley Sutton & Dist	24 22 21 20	18 8 10 3 9	
4 5 6 7 8 9=	South London H Sutton & Dist Epsom & Ewell Woking Aldershot F&D	34 31 30 22 20	7 6 5 5	4 5 6 7 8 9	Kingston & Poly Woking Croydon Camberley Sutton & Dist Epsom & Ewell	24 22 21 20 16	18 8 10 3 9 5	
$ \begin{array}{c} 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 = \\ 10 = \\ 11 \end{array} $	South London H Sutton & Dist Epsom & Ewell Woking Aldershot F&D Guildford & God WSEH Herc Wimb	34 31 30 22 20 14 14 13	7 6 5 5 6	4 5 6 7 8 9 10=	Kingston & Poly Woking Croydon Camberley Sutton & Dist Epsom & Ewell Walton	24 22 21 20 16 14	18 8 10 3 9 5 3	
$ \begin{array}{c} 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 = \\ 10 = \\ 11 \end{array} $	South London H Sutton & Dist Epsom & Ewell Woking Aldershot F&D Guildford & God WSEH	34 31 30 22 20 14 14 13	7 6 5 5 6 1 8 2	4 5 6 7 8 9 10=	Kingston & Poly Woking Croydon Camberley Sutton & Dist Epsom & Ewell Walton Herc Wimb	24 22 21 20 16 14 13 13	18 8 10 3 9 5 3 5 6 1	
$ \begin{array}{c} 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 = \\ 10 = \\ 11 \\ 12 = \\ 12 = \\ \end{array} $	South London H Sutton & Dist Epsom & Ewell Woking Aldershot F&D Guildford & God WSEH Herc Wimb Richmond & Twick Walton	34 31 30 22 20 14 14 13	7 6 5 5 6 1 8	$ \begin{array}{c} 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 = \\ 12 \\ 13 \end{array} $	Kingston & Poly Woking Croydon Camberley Sutton & Dist Epsom & Ewell Walton Herc Wimb Aldershot F&D Richmond & Twick Crawley	24 22 21 20 16 14 13 13	18 8 10 3 9 5 3 5 6	
$ \begin{array}{c} 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 = \\ 10 = \\ 11 \\ 12 = \\ 14 = \\ \end{array} $	South London H Sutton & Dist Epsom & Ewell Woking Aldershot F&D Guildford & God WSEH Herc Wimb Richmond & Twich Walton Belgrave	34 31 30 22 20 14 14 13 \$\$	7 6 5 5 6 1 8 2	$ \begin{array}{c} 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 = \\ 10 = \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 = \\ \end{array} $	Kingston & Poly Woking Croydon Camberley Sutton & Dist Epsom & Ewell Walton Herc Wimb Aldershot F&D Richmond & Twick Crawley Redhill & SyB	24 22 21 20 16 14 13 13 12 10 5	18 8 10 3 9 5 3 5 6 1 2 1	
$ \begin{array}{c} 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 = \\ 10 = \\ 11 \\ 12 = \\ 14 = $	South London H Sutton & Dist Epsom & Ewell Woking Aldershot F&D Guildford & God WSEH Herc Wimb Richmond & Twick Walton Belgrave Camberley	34 31 30 22 20 14 14 13 \$ \$ 8 8	7 6 5 5 6 1 8 2 3	$ \begin{array}{c} 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 = \\ 10 = \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 = \\ \end{array} $	Kingston & Poly Woking Croydon Camberley Sutton & Dist Epsom & Ewell Walton Herc Wimb Aldershot F&D Richmond & Twick Crawley Redhill & SyB South London H	24 22 21 20 16 14 13 13 12 10	18 8 10 3 9 5 3 5 6 1 2 1 2	
$ \begin{array}{c} 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 = \\ 10 = \\ 11 \\ 12 = \\ 14 = \\ 14 = \\ 15 = \\ \end{array} $	South London H Sutton & Dist Epsom & Ewell Woking Aldershot F&D Guildford & God WSEH Herc Wimb Richmond & Twich Walton Belgrave Camberley Crawley	34 31 30 22 20 14 14 13 5 8 8 6	7 6 5 5 6 1 8 2 3 1	$ \begin{array}{c} 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 = \\ 10 = \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 = \\ \end{array} $	Kingston & Poly Woking Croydon Camberley Sutton & Dist Epsom & Ewell Walton Herc Wimb Aldershot F&D Richmond & Twick Crawley Redhill & SyB South London H Belgrave	24 22 21 20 16 14 13 13 12 10 5	18 8 10 3 9 5 3 5 6 1 2 1	
$ \begin{array}{c} 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 = \\ 10 = \\ 11 \\ 12 = \\ 14 = \\ 14 = \\ 15 = \\ \end{array} $	South London H Sutton & Dist Epsom & Ewell Woking Aldershot F&D Guildford & God WSEH Herc Wimb Richmond & Twick Walton Belgrave Camberley	34 31 30 22 20 14 14 13 5 8 8 8 6 6	7 6 5 5 6 1 8 2 3 1 1	$ \begin{array}{r} 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 = \\ 12 \\ 13 \\ 14 = \\ 14 = \\ \end{array} $	Kingston & Poly Woking Croydon Camberley Sutton & Dist Epsom & Ewell Walton Herc Wimb Aldershot F&D Richmond & Twick Crawley Redhill & SyB South London H	24 22 21 20 16 14 13 13 12 10 5 5	18 8 10 3 9 5 3 5 6 1 2 1 2	

Keith Burchell, 29 January 2006

## SURREY COUNTY ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION

## ANALYSIS OF UNDER 13 TRACK & FIELD PERFORMANCES 2004 - 2005

The AAA of England Standards Scheme sets national standards for assessing the performances of athletes. In the table below I have counted the number of performances in the 2005 Surrey Track & Field Rankings (compiled by Clare Cave and Keith Burchell) for Grades 1 - 3 in each event for the Under 13 age groups. The figures have been revised from those given in SCAN 45 following further updates from clubs. The figures shown in brackets are the corresponding values for 2004

UNDER 13 BOYS

UNDER 13 GIRLS

Event	AAA 1	AAA 2	AAA 3	Event	AAA 1	AAA 2	AAA 3
100M	2 (1)	4 (4)	8 (11)	100M	0(1)	6 (2)	7 (5)
200M	1 (1)	4 (5)	8 (13)	200M	3 (1)	2 (2)	11 (4)
800M	4 (2)	3 (5)	8 (11)	800M	5 (1)	10 (3)	15 (12)
1500M	5 (3)	2 (2)	5 (3)	1500M	2 (1)	6 (3)	5 (5)
75H	0 (0)	1 (0)	1 (0)	70H	2 (0)	6 (4)	6 (9)
80H	1 (2)	1 (2)	2 (3)	-			
HJ	3 (0)	5 (1)	4 (5)	HJ	0 (2)	6 (1)	7 (2)
LJ	0 (3)	11 (5)	11 (12)	LJ	2 (2)	3 (1)	12 (8)
SP	0(1)	0 (4)	5 (2)	SP	0 (0)	1 (2)	7 (5)
DT	0 (2)	1 (1)	6 (7)	DT	1 (0)	0(1)	2 (1)
JT	0 (2)	0(1)	6 (0)	JT	0 (0)	3 (0)	1 (1)

In addition to AAAoE Standards we have set a Surrey Standard which is used to determine the level necessary for inclusion in the Surrey Rankings. The following tables show the relative positions of the Surrey clubs based upon their Surrey athletes only and upon performances submitted for inclusion in the ranking lists. Each Grade 1 performance scores 4 points; Grade 2 3 points; Grade 3 2 points and Surrey standard 1 point. For the Boys 75M and 80M Hurdles if an athlete is ranked in both then the higher grade performance only is counted. The table also shows the number of individual athletes in each club who have at least one performance in the relevant Annual Surrey Rankings.

Club scores:-

UNDER 13 BOYS

UNDER 13 GIRLS

2005					2005			
1	Herne Hill H	101pt	s 20 athletes	1	Guildford & God	121pts	28 athletes	
2	Croydon H	61	16	2	Aldershot F&D	88	14	
3	Walton AC	51	14	3	Kingston & Poly	61	16	
4	Aldershot F&D	50	8	3=	Walton AC	61	15	
5	Guildford & God	43	11	5	Herne Hill H	48	14	
6	Kingston & Poly	28	12	6	South London H	31	4	
7	Herc Wimb AC	27	8	7	Dorking & MV	28	7	
8	Sutton & Dist AC	15	6	8=	Camberley & D	21	4	
9	South London H	10	4	8=	Herc Wimb AC	21	5	
10=	Camberley & D	6	1	10	Woking AC	19	6	
10=	Dorking & MV	6	3	11	Epsom & Ewell H	10	3	
10=	Woking AC	6	2	12	Croydon H	9	7	
13	Richmond & T	5	1	13	Sutton & D AC	8	3	
14	Epsom & Ewell H	4	1	14	Windsor SE& H	4	1	
2004								
	200	04			200	)4		
1	200 Kingston & Poly	0 <b>4</b> 83	17 athletes	1	<b>20(</b> Guildford & God	<b>)4</b> 118	31 athletes	
1 2			17 athletes 17	1 2			31 athletes 6	
	Kingston & Poly	83			Guildford & God	118		
2	Kingston & Poly Herne Hill H	83 73	17	2	Guildford & God Camberley	118 36	6	
2 3	Kingston & Poly Herne Hill H Croydon	83 73 68	17 12	2 3	Guildford & God Camberley Aldershot F&D	118 36 32	6 7	
2 3 4	Kingston & Poly Herne Hill H Croydon Aldershot F&D	83 73 68 31	17 12 6	2 3 4	Guildford & God Camberley Aldershot F&D Herne Hill H	118 36 32 25	6 7 1	
2 3 4 5	Kingston & Poly Herne Hill H Croydon Aldershot F&D Camberley	83 73 68 31 27	17 12 6 6	2 3 4 5	Guildford & God Camberley Aldershot F&D Herne Hill H Kingston & Poly	118 36 32 25 20	6 7 1 7	
2 3 4 5 6	Kingston & Poly Herne Hill H Croydon Aldershot F&D Camberley Guildford & God	83 73 68 31 27 21	17 12 6 6 4	2 3 4 5 6	Guildford & God Camberley Aldershot F&D Herne Hill H Kingston & Poly Sutton & Dist	118 36 32 25 20 16	6 7 1 7 4	
2 3 4 5 6 7	Kingston & Poly Herne Hill H Croydon Aldershot F&D Camberley Guildford & God Herc Wimb AC	83 73 68 31 27 21 17	17 12 6 6 4 5	2 3 4 5 6 7	Guildford & God Camberley Aldershot F&D Herne Hill H Kingston & Poly Sutton & Dist Croydon	118 36 32 25 20 16 13	6 7 1 7 4 6	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Kingston & Poly Herne Hill H Croydon Aldershot F&D Camberley Guildford & God Herc Wimb AC Woking AC	<ul> <li>83</li> <li>73</li> <li>68</li> <li>31</li> <li>27</li> <li>21</li> <li>17</li> <li>16</li> </ul>	17 12 6 6 4 5 5	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Guildford & God Camberley Aldershot F&D Herne Hill H Kingston & Poly Sutton & Dist Croydon Horsham BS	118 36 32 25 20 16 13 12	6 7 1 7 4 6 1	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Kingston & Poly Herne Hill H Croydon Aldershot F&D Camberley Guildford & God Herc Wimb AC Woking AC South London H	<ul> <li>83</li> <li>73</li> <li>68</li> <li>31</li> <li>27</li> <li>21</li> <li>17</li> <li>16</li> <li>14</li> </ul>	17 12 6 6 4 5 5 1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Guildford & God Camberley Aldershot F&D Herne Hill H Kingston & Poly Sutton & Dist Croydon Horsham BS Herc Wimb	118 36 32 25 20 16 13 12 9	6 7 1 7 4 6 1 2	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Kingston & Poly Herne Hill H Croydon Aldershot F&D Camberley Guildford & God Herc Wimb AC Woking AC South London H Dorking & MV	<ul> <li>83</li> <li>73</li> <li>68</li> <li>31</li> <li>27</li> <li>21</li> <li>17</li> <li>16</li> <li>14</li> <li>10</li> </ul>	17 12 6 6 4 5 5 1 3	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Guildford & God Camberley Aldershot F&D Herne Hill H Kingston & Poly Sutton & Dist Croydon Horsham BS Herc Wimb Walton	118 36 32 25 20 16 13 12 9 8	6 7 1 7 4 6 1 2 3	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Kingston & Poly Herne Hill H Croydon Aldershot F&D Camberley Guildford & God Herc Wimb AC Woking AC South London H Dorking & MV Sutton & Dist	<ul> <li>83</li> <li>73</li> <li>68</li> <li>31</li> <li>27</li> <li>21</li> <li>17</li> <li>16</li> <li>14</li> <li>10</li> <li>7</li> </ul>	17 12 6 6 4 5 5 1 3 2	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12=	Guildford & God Camberley Aldershot F&D Herne Hill H Kingston & Poly Sutton & Dist Croydon Horsham BS Herc Wimb Walton Dorking & MV	118 36 32 25 20 16 13 12 9 8 6	6 7 1 7 4 6 1 2 3 2	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Kingston & Poly Herne Hill H Croydon Aldershot F&D Camberley Guildford & God Herc Wimb AC Woking AC South London H Dorking & MV Sutton & Dist Epsom & Ewell	<ul> <li>83</li> <li>73</li> <li>68</li> <li>31</li> <li>27</li> <li>21</li> <li>17</li> <li>16</li> <li>14</li> <li>10</li> <li>7</li> <li>6</li> </ul>	17 12 6 6 4 5 5 1 3 2 3	$ \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 = \\ 14 = \\ 14 = \\ \end{array} $	Guildford & God Camberley Aldershot F&D Herne Hill H Kingston & Poly Sutton & Dist Croydon Horsham BS Herc Wimb Walton Dorking & MV South London H	118 36 32 25 20 16 13 12 9 8 6 4	6 7 1 7 4 6 1 2 3 2 1	